

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/037,512

Applicant(s)

FARINA ET AL.

Examiner

Elizabeth Quan

Art Unit

1743

All Participants:

(1) Elizabeth Quan.

(2) Leland Johnson.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 21 December 2003

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggested removing "having" from "having also having" from the 5th line of claim 1 of the preliminary amendment filed 6/11/2003. Attorney agreed with suggestion. Examiner stated that the application will be in condition for allowance upon final approval from the supervisory patent examiner. .